

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.		
10/664,593	09/17/2003	Michael Kuhn	MAN03 P-113	3662		
28101	7590 09/16/2004		EXAM	EXAMINER		
	, GARDNER, LINN A EVOIX DRIVE, S.E.	VALENZA, JOSEPH E				
P.O. BOX 888	•	ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER			
GRAND RAP	IDS, MI 49588-8695	•	3651	· · · · · ·		

DATE MAILED: 09/16/2004

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

					<u></u>			
		Application	n No.	Applicant(s)				
		10/664,59	3	KUHN, MICHAEL				
	Office Action Summary	Examiner		Art Unit				
_		Joseph V	alenza	3651				
The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address Period for Reply								
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 3 MONTH(S) FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timely filed after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reply within the statutory minimum of thirty (30) days will be considered timely. - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, cause the application to become ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133). Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of this communication, even if timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).								
Status								
1)	Responsive to communication(s) fil	ed on .						
	This action is FINAL. 2b) This action is non-final.							
3)□								
Disposition of Claims								
5)□ 6)⊠ 7)□								
Applicat	ion Papers							
9)□	The specification is objected to by the	ne Examiner.						
10)	10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) accepted or b) objected to by the Examiner.							
	Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).							
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d). 11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152.								
Priority (ınder 35 U.S.C. § 119							
12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) □ All b) □ Some * c) □ None of: 1. □ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received. 2. □ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No 3. □ Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.								
Attachmen	t(s)							
1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 4) Interview Summary (PTO-413)								
3) Infor	e of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (i mation Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 o r No(s)/Mail Date		Paper No(s)/Mail Da 5) Notice of Informal P 6) Other:		-152)			

Application/Control Number: 10/664,593

Art Unit: 3651

DETAILED ACTION

1. Claims 1-14 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over European reference '129 in view of Ebner.

It would have been obvious that pressure rollers 12 of European reference '129 could be positioned higher so that belt 5 constantly engages and drives carrying rollers 3,4 of European reference '129 as taught by pressure rollers 70, belt 46 and carrying rollers 44 (column 3, lines 34-42) of Ebner. With regard to claim 5, the criticality of the size of the overlap has not been proven; therefore, the overlap of pressure rollers 70 and carrying rollers 44 of Ebner is considered to be functionally equivalent. With regard to claims 6 and 11-14, the criticality of the belt cross-sectional shape has not been proven; therefore, the belt 5 of European reference '129, eventhough the belt 46 of Ebner is flat, is considered to be functionally equivalent.

2. Claims 1-14 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, 2nd paragraph for being inaccurate.

The last three lines of claim 1 are inaccurate because the unillustrated electric drive of page 5 line 33 does not rotate the carrying roller. The contact of the carrying roller with driven belt 5 caused by pressure roller 11 causes the carrying roller to rotate even if the top run of belt 5 is not contacting the carrying roller.

3. The IDS filed 3/3/04 fails to comply with 37C.F.R. 1.98 (a) (3) (i) and (ii). While a discuss of some of the reference's content is given on pages 1 and 2 of the specification, the discussion is insufficient to understand all of the similar features that

Application/Control Number: 10/664,593

Art Unit: 3651

i,

are claimed by applicant. Upon receipt of more complete disclosures, the form 1449 will be acknowledged.

4. Any inquiry concerning this communication should be directed to Joseph E. Valenza at telephone number (703) 308-2577. Amendments may be faxed to (703) 872-9306. My normal work week is Monday through Thursday.

JOSEPH E. VALENZA PRIMARY EXAMINER

Joseph Valenza

Page 3